

ಇನವಿಯಾದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡದೆ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—1957ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದರಾಯಿತು. ಅಷ್ಟು ಹೊತ್ತಿಗೆ 'ಪುಲ್ಲ' ವ್ಯಾಧಿ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಡಿದುದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಜಾಗೃತ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಸ್. ಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ.—ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಹಣ ದುರುಪಯೋಗವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವೇ ನಾದರೂ ತಂದರೆ ಏತಾನಿನ್ನುತ್ತೇನೆ.

I.P. sets to Bagali Village in Chamaraajanagar Taluk.

Q.—2466. Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the date on which the completion report was submitted in respect of I.P. sets to Bagali, Chamaraajanagar taluk ;

(b) the date on which materials such as poles, wires, etc., were transported to Bagali ;

(c) if not, the date on which the required materials will be sent in accordance with the sanctioned estimate ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Completion reports in respect of four out of five applicants were received on 12th March 1957.

(b) A few poles and wire were transported on 30th December 1957.

(c) The balance of materials will be sent soon after the applicants install their pump sets and essentials line material are received.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Though more than 75 per cent of the people have taken pump sets and even the completion reports have been submitted to the Department concerned, the work of giving power has not yet been taken up why ?

*Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH. The completion reports are still to come. None of the applicants has actually installed the pump sets and

no wiring work has been done. Even the construction of pump house is stated to be still incomplete. Action to supply power will be taken as soon as the parties get ready with their portion of work completed and get ready to take service. That is the report. If any particular instances are brought to my notice, I shall certainly take action.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—I have visited this spot. I know very well that almost all the pump sets have been completed. Even the work of wiring has been completed. I do not know why this wrong information has been given to Government. The matter must be clarified, I have not got a proper answer.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I have answered correctly. The latest information is dated 4th April 1958, and it is that, as stated in the printed reply, completion reports in respect of four out of five applicants have been received. If the Hon'ble Member brings to my notice and writes to me about the lapses, action will be taken.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know the number of applicants who have applied for pump sets ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I have no information on that point.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Will the Government accept that almost all the applicants have completed the work ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I am not prepared to accept that statement.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—On what basis you do not accept the statement ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—On the basis of the Report that I have with me. Four applicants have given the completion reports and the others have not complied with the requisite undertaking. If the Hon'ble Member has any definite information, he may bring it to my notice.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I bring it to the notice of the Government that only four had applied for pump sets and all the four have given completion reports ? Will you take action ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—As I suggested, it is only if the facts are brought to the notice of the Government that we can write to the Electricity Board and ask for clarification and ask for action to be taken. It is the Electricity Board that will have to take the necessary action.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—I want to know whether putting a question in the Assembly is also a way of bringing to the notice of the Government?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The question is put only to elicit information. Unless specifically it is brought to notice, no action can be taken. The question is put only to elicit information. Information is supplied and if the information is incorrect it is for the member to bring further facts to our notice. Further action can be taken if specific facts are brought to notice.

Acquisition of land for the Construction of National Extension Service Blocks in Pavagada Taluk.

Q.—2596. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Municipality of Pavagada has passed resolution to acquire certain land for the construction of buildings for the N.E.S. Block sanctioned in the year 1956 to Pavagada Taluk;

(b) if so, the action taken for the construction of the buildings?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The proposal for acquisition of the land was not pursued as the land was considered not suitable. The buildings for N.E.S. block are proposed to be constructed on a separate site to be granted by the District Board at an upset price.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—(a) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ “Yes” ಎಂದು. ಆದರೆ (b) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ “The proposal for acquisition

of the land was not pursued as the land was considered not suitable” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ‘Not suitable’ ಎಂದರೆ ಅರ್ಥವೇನು?

*ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅರ್ಥ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು ನಮಗೆ ಅಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಅಲ್ಲ Block Headquartersನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅದು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂತು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಆ ಸ್ಥಳ ಬೇರೊಬ್ಬರ ಹಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೆಂದೂ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಟಿಯವರ ಹಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿದೆವೆಂದೂ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರೋ. ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ನನಗೆ ಬೇಡ. ಹೆರಾ ಆಫೀಸರೂ, ಲೋಕಲ್ ಆಫೀಸರೂ ಹೋಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ, ಆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಒಬ್ಬ ಪ್ರಮುಖರಿಗೆ, ಒಬ್ಬ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪುಡಾರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದರೆ ದೆಸೆಯಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಬೇಡವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಸರಿಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ತಾವೇ ಹೋಗಿ ಈಗಲೂ ಬುದ್ದಿ ನೋಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಇದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ಆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಟಿ ಹುಟ್ಟಿ ಆ ಊರು ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—The Hon'ble The Deputy Minister was pleased to say that the site belongs to private party and so it is not suitable; is it not that the question of acquisition arises only if the site belongs to private parties?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—That is not the only reason. The other reason was that it was not suitable for the erection of buildings. According to the information I have here, it is stated to be very close to the electrical colony.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is it not a fact that in Chicknayakanahalli the site that is selected is just opposite to the electrical colony and quarters that are under construction.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I do not know that.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—May I know who decided that this site is not suitable?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—The Divisional Commissioner.